Content available at: <https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals>

International Journal of Pharmaceutical Chemistry and Analysis

Journal homepage: <https://www.ijpca.org/>

Original Research Article

Development of gastroretentive floating tablets of losartan potassium by sublimation method

Hiral S Bhusara^{1,*}, Ara T Patel¹, Mayuree D Patel¹¹Dept. of Pharmacy, Shri Satsangi Saketdham Ram Ashram Group of Institutions, Vadasma, Langhanaj, Gujarat, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 26-04-2021

Accepted 29-04-2021

Available online 26-07-2021

Keywords:

Losartan Potassium

Polyethylene Oxide (PEO)

Camphor pore

Floating tablet

ABSTRACT

The purpose of present study was to formulate and Evaluate Sustained release floating tablet of losartan Potassium using Camphor and Polyethylene Oxide as Pore formation for floating and release retarding agent respectively to improve gastric residence time and patient compliance in management of hypertension. The tablet was prepared by direct compression by using HPMC K4 as dry binder. Camphor and PEO as floating and release retarding agent for sustained release floating tablet. Post compression was done to increase the hardness and floating time of tablet. Release modifier was used to speed up the release of drug from sustained release floating tablet. The effect of two independent variables like amount of Sublimating agent (camphor) and amount of Polyethylene oxide (PEO) on Q30min, Q360min, and Q720min was optimized using 32 factorial design and analyzed using the software design expert 10.0.3. The observed (actual values) responses were coincided well with the predicted values, given by the optimization technique. The floating tablet were characterized by FTIR for drug excipient compatibility.

This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License](#), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com1. Introduction¹⁻⁵

1.1. Gastroretentive drug delivery system

Gastro-retentive drug delivery is an approach to prolong gastric residence time, thereby targeting site-specific drug release in the upper gastrointestinal tract (GIT) for local or systemic effects. Oral route of drug delivery has known from decades as the most widely used and preferred amongst all the routes that have been explored for the systemic drug delivery. The tremendous popularity of the oral route is mainly based on the convenience it offers to patients. However oral route has distinct failure in the delivery of oral sustained release dosage forms (OSRDF).

All OSRDF have limited applications because these systems cannot remain in the vicinity of absorption site in gastrointestinal tract (GIT) till complete release of

active moiety. To improve the performance of OSRDF, scientists have discovered a new concept in drug delivery i.e. GRDDS. An optimum GRDDS can be defined as a system which retains in the stomach for a sufficient time interval against all the physiological barriers, releasing active moiety in a controlled manner, and finally metabolized in the body. Over the last two decades, numerous GRDDS have been designed to prolong GRT. The major objective is to minimize the drawbacks associated with existing OSRDF and optimizing therapy coupled with substantial patient comfort.

A GRDDS can be also being a useful tool in delivery of drugs that are primarily absorbed in the duodenum and upper jejunum or those that have an absorption window in the GIT.

These systems are also appropriate for drugs which are locally active in the gastric mucosa in the stomach, for example antibiotic administration for helicobacter pylori eradication and in the treatment of peptic ulcer and gastritis.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: hiralbhusara16@gmail.com (H. S. Bhusara).

Drugs that are less soluble in or are degraded by the alkaline pH may get benefit by being incorporated in GRDDS for prolonged gastric retention thereby improving the oral bioavailability and therapeutic efficacy by possible reduction of dose size.

1.2. Floating drug delivery system^{6,7}

1. A floating drug delivery systems floats in the gastric juice without affecting the gastric emptying rate.
2. This helps to increase the drugs gastric residence time and reduces the variability in bioavailability.
3. Floating systems or hydro dynamically controlled systems are low-density systems that have sufficient buoyancy to float over the gastric contents and remain buoyant in the stomach without affecting on the gastric contents; the drug is released slowly at the desired rate from the system. After release of drug, the residual system is emptied from the stomach.

1.3. Mechanism of floating system^{8,9}

An increased gastric retention time and better control on the fluctuation in the plasma drug concentration. However, besides a minimal gastric content needed to allow the proper achievement of the buoyancy retention principle a minimal level of floating force is also required to keep the dosage form reliably buoyant on the surface of the meal.

Fig. 1: Outlook of mechanism of floating system.

2. Literature Review^{10–19}

1. **Tripathi julu, Thapa Prakash (2019)** in recent years, many attempts have been made to enhance the drug bioavailability and therapeutic effectiveness of oral dosage forms, various gastro retentive drug delivery systems (GRDDS) have been used to improve the therapeutic efficacy of drugs that have a narrow absorption window, they are unstable at alkaline pH soluble in acidic conditions, and active locally in the stomach. In this review, we discuss the physiological state of the stomach and various factors that affect GRDDS. Recently applied gastrointestinal technologies such as expandable, super porous hydrogel; bio/Mucoadhesive, magnetic, ion-exchange resin; and low and high-density-systems

have also been examined along with their merits and demerits. The significance of in vitro and in vivo evaluation parameters of various GRDDS is summarized along with their applications. Moreover, future perspective son this technology are discussed to minimize the gastric empty in gratein both the fasted and fed states. Overall, this review may inform and guide formulation scientists in designing the GRDDS.

2. **Sarkar Rao K et al., (2015)** Losartan potassium is angiotensin type-II receptor antagonist, for the treatment anti-hypertension. The purpose of this investigation is to improve bioavailability, gastro residence time and to reduce frequency of administration by preparing a gastro-retentive drug delivery system. Losartan potassium was prepared by direct compression method by using various polymers such as HPMC K4M, xanthan gum and Carbopol 971p at various concentrations. Sodium bicarbonate and sodium alginate were incorporated as gas generating agents and foaming agents. Lubricating agent like talc and magnesium stearate, lactose as sweetening agent, and microcrystalline cellulose as binding agent, FTIR spectroscopy study reveals that no interaction between drug and polymers. F1-F12 formulations were developed, and evaluated for thickness, weight variation, friability, drug content, floating time, lag time and in-vitro drug release. The in-vitro cumulative % drug release of all formulation ranged from 94.28% to 98.88% Oat 12hr. the floating time and lag time for the optimized formulation F9 was found to be 20min and 12hrs respectively. In-vitro drug released was found to be 98.88% for F9 at the end of 12hrs and which was considered as best formulation
3. **Ray et al., (2010)** was developed an optimal gastro retentive drug delivery system (GRDDS) for administering Losartan. Additionally, the influence of optimized GRDDS on the bioavailability of Losartan and the formation extent of active metabolite E3174 by CYP2C9 polymorphism was investigated. Swellable and floatable GRDDS tablets combining hydroxyethyl cellulose (HEC), sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (NaCMC), and sodium bicarbonate were prepared at various compression pressures for evaluating swelling characteristics and floating capacity.
4. **Londhe et al., (2010)** was developed Verapamil hydrochloride bi-layer floating tablets have two layers one immediate release layer and second floating sustained release layer. Verapamil hydrochloride bi-layer floating tablet releases drug in two phase's i.e. immediate and sustained drug release. Direct compression method was used to formulate bi-layer floating tablets. All bi-layer formulation float more than 12 h and sustained drug release above 12 h.

5. **Mina et al., (2009)** was developed a gastro retentive controlled release drug delivery system with swelling, floating, and adhesive properties. Ten tablet formulations were designed using hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (HPMC K15M) and/or sodium alginate (Na alginate) as release-retarding polymer(s) and sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO_3) or calcium carbonate (CaCO_3) as a gas former. Swelling ability, floating behavior, adhesion period and drug release studies were conducted in 0.1 N HCl (pH 1.2) at 37 ± 0.5 °C. The tablets showed acceptable physicochemical properties.
6. **Annand et al., (2009)** novel gastro retentive controlled release drug delivery system of verapamil HCL was formulated in an effort to increase the gastric retention time of the dosage form and to control drug release. Buoyancy was achieved by adding an effervescent mixture of sodium bicarbonate and anhydrous citric acid. In vitro drug release studies were performed, and drug release kinetics was evaluated using the linear regression method.
7. **Chen et al., (2010)** investigated the effect on compression pressure using appropriate ratio of hydroxy ethyl cellulose to sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (NaCMC), and sodium bicarbonate on losartan tablets. He concluded that compression at low pressure resulted in tablet floating over SGF for more than 16 h and swelling to 2 cm in diameter within 3 h.

3. Objectives

To fulfill the aim of investigation, following objectives were followed step-by-step in order to complete the task of work:

1. Selection of dose of drug.
2. Selection of pore creating substance.
3. Selection of channel former (release modifier) and its effect on drug release.
4. Effect of post compression curing on drug release, hardness, friability and floating behavior.
5. Preparation of preliminary batches of tablet containing losartan potassium.
6. Characterization of preliminary batches of prepared tablet containing losartan potassium.
7. Preparation of optimization batches of tablet containing losartan potassium.
8. Characterization of optimization batches of prepared tablet containing losartan potassium.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Materials

Losartan Potassium Was Procured From IPCA Laboratory, Silvassa, Polyethylene Oxide And Camphor Were Procured From Astron Chemicals Ahmedabad. HPMC Are Obtained

From NR Chemicals Limited, Mumbai. Magnesium Stearate And Talc Were Procured From Chemdyes Corporation, Rajkot, Microcrystalline Cellulose Powder Are Obtained From Microwax Private Limited, Ahmedabad. The Residual Reagents Were Used Having Analytical Grade.

4.2. Methods

Direct compression method was selected to manufacture of gastroretentive floating tablet of losartan potassium tablets. Losartan potassium and excipients were weighed for practical yield and the same was recorded.

The ingredient was weighed accurately and mixed thoroughly in increasing order of weight for 10 min. batches were compressed on Rotatory tablet compression machine using 9.5 mm flat punch. Density of tablets was kept constant 1.0 g/cm³. For curing experiments tablets of all batches were stored in an oven at 50 °C for 24 hours.

4.3. Methodology

4.3.1. Preformulation study

Preformulation study of dosage form is the first and foremost step in developmental process of any drug substance. Preformulation studies are defined as a study of physical and chemical phenomenon of drug itself and with any excipient.

4.3.2. Angle of repose

The angle of repose has been set at the maximum angle possible between the surfaces of a pile of powder and horizontal plane. Here the fixed funnel method was employed. A funnel was set with its crest at a given height (h), above a level horizontal surface on which a graph paper was placed. The powder was watchfully poured through a funnel till the apex of the conical pile just touches the top of the funnel. By using the below-given formula, the angle of repose was calculated.

$$\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{h}{r} \right)$$

Where,

θ = angle of repose,

h = height of pile,

4.3.3. Bulk density

It is the ratio of the mass of the powder taken with its bulk volume. The shape and cohesiveness of particles, particle size distribution, was depended on bulk density. A fixed quantity of powder was cautiously poured into the graduated measuring cylinder through an appropriate size funnel and volume was calculated, and it is called as bulk volume. Bulk density is expressed in kg/m³ and is given by

$$\rho_b = \frac{M}{V_o}$$

Where,

ρ_b = bulk density (kg/m³),

M = mass of powder (kg),

V_o = bulk volume of powder (m^3).

4.3.4. Tapped density

In a clean, dry 100 ml measuring cylinder 10 g of powder was loaded. The loaded cylinder was then tapped 100 times by tapped density apparatus or manually by hand from a fixed height and then tapped volume, tapped density was measured.

Which is specified in kg/ m^3 and calculated by following formula

$$P_t = \frac{M}{V_t}$$

Where,

ρ_t = tapped density (kg/m^3),

M = mass of powder (kg),

V_t = tapped volume of powder (m^3).

4.3.5. Compressibility index

From the values of bulk and tapped densities a secondary method for determining powder flow is Carr's index. The powder arch potency or bridge strength and stability of the powder were directly measured by the percentage compressibility. Each formulation's Carr's index was calculated by the below-given equation

$$\text{Carr's index} = [(\rho_t - \rho_b) / \rho_t] \times 100$$

Where,

ρ_t = tapped density (kg/m^3),

ρ_b = bulk density (kg/m^3)

4.3.6. Hausner's ratio

Hausner's ratio is calculated by tapped density versus bulk density

$$\text{Hausner's ratio} = (\text{Tapped Density}) / (\text{Bulk Density})$$

4.4. Post-compression evaluation parameters:

4.4.1. Tablet shape

Shape is checked by magnifying lens after compression. It mainly includes shape and size of tablet. Also, it affects texture, any embossing matter, Color.

4.4.2. Tablet dimensions

In this three tablets are randomly taken and then their thickness and diameter are measured by Vernier caliper or by using calibrated screw gauze.

4.4.3. Weight variation test

Twenty tablets are selected and weighed individually. The average weight and standard deviation is calculated. Test passes when not more than two tablets deviate from average weight.

4.4.4. Hardness

Expressed in kg/cm^2 and it is checked using Monsanto hardness tester by randomly picking three tablets. Hardness helps in knowing ability of the tablet to withstand mechanical shock during handling of tablets

4.4.5. Friability

20 tablets are taken randomly and placed on a sieve. Loose dust is removed with the aid of air pressure or a soft brush. Tablet samples are weighed accurately and placed in Friabilator (Veego VFT-DV). After the given number of rotations (100 rotations) loose dust is removed from the tablets as before. Finally, tablets are weighed. The loss in weight indicates the ability of the tablets to withstand this type of wear. The percent friability is determined by using following formula,

$$\% \text{ Friability} = [(\text{Initial weight} - \text{Final weight}) / \text{Initial weight}] \times 100$$

If % friability of tablets is less than 1% is considered acceptable.

4.4.6. Tablet Density

It is an important parameter in case of floating tablets. If density is less than gastric fluid (1.004) than only the tablets will float.

It is calculated using formula:

$$V = \pi r^2 h$$

$$D = m/v$$

Where,

r = Radius of tablet

h = crown thickness (g/cc)

m = Mass of tablet

4.4.7. FTIR Study

The possible chemical interactions of losartan Potassium with formulation polymer Polyethylene Oxide and other excipients were examined by FTIR spectroscopy (Bruker, Alpha-E, Germany) using KBr press pellet technique. Few milligram of sample mix with 100 time of potassium bromide and pressed by polymer press (PFM15, Techno search Instrument) by applying 5-ton pressure for 5 min. This pellet was placed on instrument. FTIR spectral scans were recorded in the range between 4000-600 cm^{-1}

4.5. Optimization of gastroretentive floating tablet of losartan potassium using 32 full factorial design

Based on the results of preliminary investigations of experimental work of Floating tablet to optimize the effect of variables on desired responses by keeping fixed concentration of other variables optimization was carried out in further investigation.

This can be easily analyzed and understood using established statistical design of experiment tools such

as factorial designs are considered the most effective in estimating the influence of individual process with minimum experimentation and time, where all factors are studied in all possible combinations. Based on the factorial design of experiment, the optimization technique encompasses the generation of model equations for the investigated responses over the experimental design to determine optimum formulation. Full factorial (32) design was carried out in further investigation as shown in Table.

4.5.1. Assay of tablet

The Assay of Floating tablet ranged from $92.28 \pm 0.004\%$ to $99.03 \pm 0.003\%$. They were well within the pharmacopoeia standard limits of 90% – 110%.

4.5.2. In-vitro dissolution study

Dissolution study is performed using USP paddle apparatus by maintaining optimum temperature i.e. 37°C at 50 rpm rotational speed. At various time interval 5ml sample is withdrawn and is replaced with same amount of buffer.

4.5.3. In vitro buoyancy studies

The in-vitro buoyancy was performed in USP Apparatus 2 (Paddle) method (Electro lab, Mumbai TDT-08L) in 0.1 N HCl, pH 1.2 (900ml) maintained at $37 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$ at 50 rpm and the time required for the tablet to rise to the surface and float was determined as floating lag time (FLT) and total floating time (FT) was also determined.

4.5.4. Stability study

Stability is the essential factor for quality, efficacy and safety of drug product. The drug product with insufficient stability can result in change of their physical (hardness, dissolution rate,) as well as chemical characteristics (formation of high risk and decomposition substances).

4.5.5. Effect of pH on floating behavior

Floating behavior of tablets was studied in 0.1 N HCl, pH 1.2, Distilled water and each 100 ml used to study the effect of pH on floating behavior.

I Took 6 Trials Batches F1to F6 In F5 Batch Is Better Results So I Optimized This Final Batch Over F5 Batch In Factorial Design. Batch F5 contain 90 Of PEO and 40 mg Camphor Shows 92.79% of drug release after dissolution from Floating tablets.

5. Results

In the FTIR spectrum of floating tablet containing Losartan Potassium and other excipient, various characteristic peaks of Losartan Potassium and Polyethylene Oxide, Camphor are appeared without any significant shifting of peaks. In short, floating tablet showed significant characters of Losartan Potassium in the FTIR spectrum, suggesting,

no interaction between drug and excipients, used in the formulation.

Fig. 2: FTIR spectrum of pure losartan potassium

Fig. 3: FTIR spectrum of formulation

Fig. 4: Comparative in vitro dissolution profile of losartan potassium from floating tablets of batches LS1-LS9 in 0.1 NHCl, pH 1.2

6. Conclusion

From the results of preliminary batches of floating Tablet containing Losartan Potassium, it was found that amount of

Table 1: Full factorial design layout for floating tablets of losartan potassium

Effect of independent variables on dependent by 32 full factorial design		
Formulation codes	Independent variables	
	X ₁ -Amount of Camphor(mg)	X ₂ Amount of PEO(mg)
LS1	30(+1)	130(+1)
LS2	30(+1)	110(0)
LS3	30 (+1)	90(-1)
LS4	40(0)	130(+1)
LS5	40(0)	110(0)
LS6	40(0)	90(-1)
LS7	45(-1)	130(+1)
LS8	45(-1)	110(0)
LS9	45(-1)	90(-1)

Dependent variables/ Respons		Constraints
Y1=Q30 min		15 % $\leq$ Y1 $\leq$ 30%, maximize
Y2=Q360 min		42 % $\leq$ Y2 $\leq$ 60 %, maximize
Y3=Q720 mi		70 % $\leq$ Y3 $\leq$ 100 %, maximize
Specification of process variables for optimization from preliminary batches		
Process variable	Specification	Remark
Polyethylene Oxide	80-90	Varied
Camphor	30-45	Varied
HPMC K4	30	Fixed
Magnesium Stearate	5	Fixed
Talc	5	Fixed
Microcrystalline cellulose	Q.S	Varied

*(-1) indicate low value, (0) indicate intermediate value and (+1) indicate high value

Table 2: BD, TD, %CI, HR and Angle of repose for losartan potassium

Sr. No	Formulation	BD (gm/ml)	TD (gm/ml)	% CI	HR	Angle of Repose
1	Losartan Potassium IP	0.325	0.392	17.091	1.206	28.38°
2	Polyethylene oxide	0.560	0.618	9.385	1.103	25.45°
3	Camphor	0.600	0.662	9.365	1.103	27.43°
4	HPMC K4	0.413	0.562	26.512	1.360	40.26°
5	Magnesium Stearate	0.334	0.370	9.729	1.1077	34.45°
6	Talc	0.561	0.658	14.741	1.172	36.65°
7	MCCP	0.399	0.460	13.260	1.152	30.26°

Table 3: Formulation composition for optimization batches with variables

Ingredients	LS1	LS2	LS3	LS4	LS5	LS6	LS7	LS8	LS9
Losartan Potassium Polyethylene oxide	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
Camphor HPMC K4 Magnesium Stearate Talc Microcrystalline cellulose	130 30 30 5 5 Q.S	110 30 30 5 5 Q.S	90 30 30 5 5 Q.S	130 40 30 5 5 Q.S	110 40 30 5 5 Q.S	90 40 30 5 5 Q.S	130 45 30 5 5 Q.S	110 45 30 5 5 Q.S	90 45 30 5 5 Q.S

Table 4: Results of in-vitro evaluation study of losartan potassium floating tablets of batches 'LS1 to LS9'

Name of Evaluation parameters	LS1	LS2	LS3	LS4	LS5	LS6	LS7	LS8	LS9
Content of Losartan potassium in floating tablet (%) $\pm$ SD	92.28 ± 0.004	92.28 ± 0.004	92.28 ± 0.004	92.28 ± 0.004	92.28 ± 0.004	92.28 ± 0.004	92.28 ± 0.004	92.28 ± 0.004	92.28 ± 0.004
Hardness (kg/cm ³)	1.20 ± 0.05	1.23 ± 0.05	1.20 ± 0.05	1.24 ± 0.05	1.29 ± 0.05	1.27 ± 0.05	1.25 ± 0.05	1.23 ± 0.05	1.22 ± 0.05
Weight of tablets(mg) $\pm$ SD	231.2 ± 2.13	230.23 ± 2.13	230.42 ± 2.13	232.52 ± 2.13	232.24 ± 2.13	230.23 ± 2.13	230.12 ± 2.13	231.12 ± 2.13	232.12 ± 2.13
Friability (%) $\pm$ SD	0.24 ± 0.02	0.22 ± 0.02	0.23 ± 0.02	0.20 ± 0.02	0.25 ± 0.02	0.21 ± 0.02	0.24 ± 0.02	0.22 ± 0.02	0.24 ± 0.02
Thickness (mm) $\pm$ SD	2.09 ± 0.050	2.45 ± 0.050	2.60 ± 0.050	2.09 ± 0.050	2.74 ± 0.050	2.44 ± 0.050	2.85 ± 0.050	2.32 ± 0.050	2.32 ± 0.050

Table 5: Results of In-vitro dissolution release of losartan potassium floating tablets of batches 'LS1 to LS9' in 0.1 N HCl, pH 1.2

Time (min/hr)	LS1	LS2	LS3	LS4	LS5	LS6	LS7	LS8	LS9
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	16.03	16.92	16.91	18.62	19.21	21.53	19.78	20.92	20.34
30	17.01	17.62	18.53	24.66	25.33	27.37	25.07	26.81	26.13
1	24.04	22.31	22.32	29.25	30.41	32.71	29.25	32.71	32.14
2	28.36	26.46	31.63	36.32	37.46	38.06	34.58	38.64	37.49
3	38.01	37.53	34.68	39.97	44.58	45.17	38.81	44.60	44.02
4	43.68	43.47	43.49	42.47	48.85	49.44	42.47	48.87	48.27
6	46.53	49.09	50.37	54.06	56.42	58.93	47.08	52.01	53.41
8	60.67	54.33	60.11	60.26	67.82	62.68	60.21	62.07	62.07
10	71.51	60.38	70.78	70.92	78.55	84.87	72.03	80.24	81.37
12	76.81	78.64	84.25	81.65	86.44	93.97	85.34	95.33	92.42

Table 6: Results of in-vitro buoyancy study of losartan potassium floating tablets of batches 'LS1 to LS9' in 0.1 N HCl, pH 1.2

Batch code	FLT(min)	FT(hour)	Effect on matrix
LS1	0	>12	Sweling
LS2	0	>12	Sweling
LS3	0	>12	Sweling
LS4	0	>12	Sweling
LS5	0	>12	Sweling
LS6	0	>12	Sweling
LS7	0	>12	Sweling
LS8	0	>12	Sweling
LS9	0	>12	Sweling

Table 7: Stability study: Results of Stability study of optimized Losartan tablets floating tablets batch 'LS6'

Days	Condition 1 (28 $\pm$ 2 °C ,65 $\pm$ 5% RH)			Condition 2 (40 $\pm$ 2 °C ,75 $\pm$ 5% RH)		
	Q30 min	Q360 min	Q720 min	Q30 min	Q360 min	Q720 min
0	21.53 $\pm$ 0.001	58.93 $\pm$ 0.003	93.97 $\pm$ 0.005	21.52 $\pm$ 0.001	58.93 $\pm$ 0.003	93.97 $\pm$ 0.005
15	20.84 $\pm$ 0.09	57.87 $\pm$ 1.27	92.95 $\pm$ 0.78	20.84 $\pm$ 0.09	57.87 $\pm$ 1.27	92.95 $\pm$ 0.78

Table 8: Effect of pH on floating of tablet

Medium	FLT(min)	TFT(hrs)
0.1 N HCl	0	>12
Distilled water	0	>12
Phosphate buffer pH 6.8	0	>12

Fig. 5: Image showing buoyancy of losartan floating tablets of batch LS₆ performed in 0.1 N HCl, pH 1.2 measured at '0, 6 and 12 hr.'

Camphor and Polyethylene oxide affecting on the responses of floating formulation. Hardness, floating time, and drug release were dependent on the amount incorporated camphor. Minimum 40mg of camphor and 90 mg of PEO were necessary for floating of tablets up to 12 hrs. Post compression curing increased the hardness and floating time of tablets.

Purpose of optimization study was to get suitable amount of Camphor and PEO to attain maximum amount of drug release from floating tablet matrix as well as sufficient hardness, floating time. All FT demonstrated an initial burst release of immediate release layer within 15 min was due to Camphor. Formulation LS₆ containing 40 mg of Camphor and 90 mg of PEO was determined to be the optimized formula which possess satisfactory quality parameters both in process and parameter of finished product. It shows floating time of more than 12 hours, cumulative % drug release of 27.37 % at the end of 30 minutes, cumulative % drug release of 58.93 % at the end of 6 hrs, and cumulative % drug release of 93.97 % at the end of 12 hr.

The stability studies were conducted for 15 Days at two conditions i.e. at ambient temperature (27±2 C; 65±5 % RH) and at higher temperature storage condition (40±2 C; 75±5 % RH) for tablet of optimized batch LS₃ exhibited no any deleterious change in physical appearance, hardness, and drug content and dissolution profile.

Thus, results of the current study clearly indicate, a promising Potential of the Losartan Potassium Floating tablets as an alternative to the conventional dosage form, which give sustain release of drug from floating tablet of drug up to 12 h from matrix tablet, eliminate the need of bid dosing and also increase the bioavailability of Losartan Potassium.

It was concluded that sublimation method can be utilized for desired floating tablet formulation of losartan potassium at laboratory scale using camphor as sublimating agent, HPMC K4 as a dry binder and PEO as a release retarding agent.

7. Source of Funding

None.

8. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Sankalia JM, Sankalia MG, Mashru RC. Drug release and swelling kinetics of directly compressed glipizide sustained-release matrices: Establishment of level A IVIVC. *J Controlled Release*. 2008;129(1):49–58. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2008.03.016.
2. Strubing S, Mäder HK. Characterization of poly (vinyl acetate) based floating matrix tablets. *J Controlled Release*. 2008;126(2):149–155. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2007.11.013.
3. Jain NK. Progress in controlled and Novel Drug Delivery Systems; 2004. p. 557. Available from: <https://www.amazon.in/Progress-Controlled-Novel-Delivery-Systems/dp/8123910967>.
4. Streubel A, Siepmann J, Bodmeier R. Gastroretentive drug delivery systems. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv*. 2006;3(2):217–33. doi:10.1517/17425247.3.2.217..
5. Rang D, Hormones. Text Book of Pharmacology, 5th Edition, edited by Laurence Hunder, ; 2004. p. 385.
6. Costa P. Modelling and comparison of dissolution profiles. *Eur J Pharm Sci*. 2001;13(2):123–33. doi:10.1016/s0928-0987(01)00095-1..
7. Costa P. Modeling and comparison of dissolution profiles. *Eu J Pharma Sci*. 2001;13(2):123–33. doi:10.1016/s0928-0987(01)00095-1.
8. Gibaldi M, Feldman S. Establishment of sink conditions in dissolution rate determinations. Theoretical considerations and application to nondisintegrating dosage forms. *J Pharm Sci*. 1967;56(10):1238–42. doi:10.1002/jps.2600561005.
9. Jain SK, Kadam VJ, Hirlekar RS. Study of effect of post compression curing on kollidon SR based floating tablets. *Int J Pharm Sci*. 2011;3(2):90–3.
10. Rajinikanth PS, Mishra B. Floating in situ gelling system for stomach site-specific delivery of clarithromycin to eradicate H. pylori. *J controlled release*. 2008;125(1):33–41. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2007.07.011.
11. Bardonnnet PL, Faivre V, Pugh WJ, Piffaretti JC, Falson F. Gastroretentive dosage forms: Overview and special case of Helicobacter pylori. *J Controlled Release*. 2006;111(1-2):1–18. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2005.10.031.
12. Lopes CM, Bettencourt C, Rossi A, Buttini F, Barata P. Overview on gastroretentive drug delivery systems for improving drug bioavailability. *Int J Pharm*. 2016;510(1):144–58. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.05.016.
13. Chen RN, Ho HO, Yu CY, Sheu MT. Development of swelling/floating gastroretentive drug delivery system based on a combination of hydroxyethyl cellulose and sodium carboxymethyl cellulose for Losartan and its clinical relevance in healthy volunteers with CYP2C9 polymorphism. *Eur J Pharm Sci* ;1(3):82–9. doi:10.1016/j.ejps.2009.10.015..
14. Londhe G, Surana. Development of Floating Drug Delivery System with Biphasic Release for Verapamil Hydrochloride: In vitro and In Vivo Evaluation. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology*. 2010;2(11):361–367.
15. Sarkar KR, Prakash KG, Kumar B, Prasad P, Madhav C, Gobinath M. Formulation and evaluation of gastro-retentive drug delivery system of losartan potassium by using raft-forming approach. *Int J Res Pharm Sci*. 2015;6(2):204–12.
16. Buddharaju S. Formulation and in-vitro evaluation of gastroretentive bilayer floating tablets of losartan potassium. *Int J pharm*. 2013;3(4):867–74.
17. Samyuktha M, Vasanth PM. formulation and evaluation of gastroretentive floating tablets of losartan Potassium. *Int J Biopharmaceutics*. 2013;4(1):18–26.
18. Buddharaju S. Formulation and in vitro evaluation of Gastro retentive bilayer floating tablets of losartan Potassium. *Int J pharm*.

2013;3(4):867–74.

19. Tanwar YS. Formulation and evaluation of effervescent floating matrix tablet of losartan potassium. *J Pharm Sci.* 2013;40(2):17–24.

Ara T Patel, Associate Professor

Mayuree D Patel, Assistant Professor

Author biography

Hiral S Bhusara, Student

Cite this article: Bhusara HS, Patel AT, Patel MD. Development of gastroretentiv floating tablets of losartan potassium by sublimation method. *Int J Pharm Chem Anal* 2021;8(2):66-74.